

www.pipelinepub.com
Volume 22, Issue 12

Space-Based 5G: Why the Next Mobile Network Expansion Won't Be Built on the Ground

By: David Helfgott



Every new generation of mobile technology expands the network that little bit further. Better radios, denser infrastructure, and more efficient use of spectrum have slowly but surely pushed coverage into places that once seemed commercially or technically out of reach. The "dead zones" started to disappear. But building tower after tower can only get us so far, especially when the next user might live a hundred miles offshore, deep inside a mountain valley, or in a region where trenching for fiber cabling simply isn't feasible.

The satellite industry has now begun to step up to the challenge. Low Earth orbit (LEO) constellations are growing at a faster rate than ever before, with entrants to the market deploying thousands of satellites as they compete to deliver true global interconnectivity. Space used to be viewed as a supplemental network layer, a bridge to fill in the occasional gap, but now it's critical to the long-term roadmap for expanding mobile coverage itself.

Common mobile standards are laying a path for operators to extend coverage through space. Rather than treating satellite services as a separate system requiring a separate set of equipment, they're aiming for convergence. GSMA Intelligence estimates around 3.0 billion IoT devices are currently "addressable" by satellite, spread across a range of industries including logistics, agriculture, finance, healthcare, and various utilities. Terrestrial infrastructure will never be abandoned, but the next phase of mobile network expansion will no longer be constrained by the number of towers we build on the ground. The sky, it turns out, really is the limit.

Two industries, one language

For most of their history, satellite communications and terrestrial mobile networks have evolved side by side rather than together as one. Each developed its own technologies, commercial models, and ways of thinking about connectivity. Something of a black sheep, satellite communications remained largely siloed from the rest of the telecom industry, built around proprietary networks and dedicated terminals rather than the standards-based ecosystem that transformed terrestrial mobile

© Pipeline Publishing, L.L.C. All Rights Reserved.

communications. That approach served us well for many years, but it also artificially limited the role satellites could play within the wider communications landscape.

The emergence of standardized 5G satellite networks means that, for the first time, satellites are being designed to follow the same mobile standards as terrestrial base stations. That gives compatible devices a path to connect across ground and space where the service is available. It's a transformational moment for the industry, because it brings two worlds together around a common technological foundation. Instead of building a parallel communications layer in space, we're now able to extend existing mobile networks beyond the physical limits of terrestrial infrastructure. Once satellites truly become part of the same standards-based ecosystem, operators can begin thinking about coverage in a fundamentally different way.

Consider a shipping container moving from a warehouse to a port and then onto a vessel. Its tracking device might begin its journey on a ground-based mobile network and later move outside the reach of coastal towers. With compatible satellite coverage, the same operator could potentially keep receiving updates without the customer having to manage an entirely separate service. A utility could use a similar approach for sensors scattered across remote terrain, while a network could retain a way to reach customers when a storm takes ground infrastructure offline. These are different services with different demands, but each illustrates the value of treating satellite capacity as part of a wider coverage plan.

The type of device matters as much as the location. A tracking sensor, a vehicle terminal, and an ordinary handset do not necessarily have the same satellite capabilities. Coverage on a map is useful only if the device can use the relevant service and the operator has made provision for it. That is why the path to wider coverage will be gradual, with specific applications and compatible equipment leading the way. Each new use case can establish what works before a broader service is promised.

Network evolution means managing ground and space

That wider coverage plan also changes the operator's job. Adding a satellite link to a coverage map is only the beginning. The network needs to know when the ground connection is available, when satellite access is appropriate, and what kind of service each can support. A small sensor sending a reading every few hours places very different demands on the network from a person trying to make a call, so sending every connection through the most capable or most expensive route would be a waste of resources. A useful network doesn't always offer the best possible connectivity by default – it matches the quality of the connection with the demands being placed on it. Orchestration matters. Continuity matters, too. A satellite moves across the sky while users and devices move across the ground. That creates a few variables that need to be managed, such as the delay in sending a signal to space and back or the capacity available in a particular area. To the user, it should feel utterly seamless, delivering a consistent experience across satellite providers, mobile operators, device makers, and the systems that manage traffic. Shared standards give us a common starting point, but making the experience dependable is the next stage of network evolution.

The engineering challenge

On the ground, expanding mobile coverage is usually a case of rolling out more towers, but building a standards-based 5G network in space requires more than simply launching more satellites. We have to make sure those satellites are able to perform at the same level as terrestrial infrastructure in a far more hostile environment with greater physical constraints. The limiting factor is almost always spectrum. Every bit of available bandwidth has to be used as efficiently as possible, because unlike terrestrial networks, satellites can't simply add more power, deploy another base station, or install additional hardware when demand increases. Every design decision is shaped by the need to extract

Not for distribution or reproduction

© Pipeline Publishing, L.L.C. All Rights Reserved.

more performance from finite resources.

That places the physical layer at the center of the engineering challenge. A satellite has to maintain reliable communications while traveling thousands of miles per hour, compensating for Doppler effects, operating through extreme temperature swings, and working within strict limits on size, weight, and power. At the same time, it still has to satisfy the performance requirements expected of a standards-compliant 5G network. Success depends on maximizing both bits per hertz and hertz per watt – transmitting as much information as possible through every slice of available spectrum while using the minimum amount of energy. While those efficiency gains might seem small on their own, together they determine whether a standards-based 5G service can be delivered economically from orbit. As satellites evolve from simple "bent-pipe" relay systems into regenerative payloads capable of processing data onboard, those physical-layer optimizations become even more important, allowing space-based networks to integrate more closely with terrestrial infrastructure while making better use of every watt of power and every hertz of spectrum.

As standards continue to mature and the ecosystem develops, space will become an increasingly natural extension of the mobile network. Ultimately, it will simply be thought of as one network, intelligently using the right infrastructure in the right place to deliver seamless connectivity wherever it's needed.

Optimization at constellation scale

So how does all of this translate into value? Even a modest improvement in the amount of data recovered from each signal may allow more devices to share a satellite’s available capacity. Lower power consumption can also leave more energy for other functions or make it easier to fit the communications system within the spacecraft’s power budget. These are practical design choices, with consequences for the size and cost of the constellation needed to serve a market. A service that works well in a demonstration still has to work when millions of devices seek coverage across different regions and at different times.

Some systems relay signals between the ground and a gateway; others process more of the communication onboard before passing it on. Processing in orbit can give a network more flexibility, but it also puts greater demands on equipment that must operate within the satellite’s limited power and space. Whichever approach an operator chooses, optimization cannot be reduced to a single measure of speed. It’s a balance between coverage, capacity, power, and cost, with the right balance depending on the service being delivered.

As standards mature and compatible devices become more widespread, satellite coverage has an opportunity to become a more natural extension of the mobile network – and that will take a lot more than just putting 5G equipment in orbit. Operators will need to manage the connection between ground and space and make every watt of power and every hertz of spectrum count. By bringing ground and space together, we can turn the edges of today’s coverage map into the beginning of a much broader one.

Not for distribution or reproduction

© Pipeline Publishing, L.L.C. All Rights Reserved.